

MAURICE A. ROBINSON began studying Greek in 1965, and NT textual criticism in 1966. His original training followed the perspective of reasoned eclecticism, which he continued to study and practice for nearly a decade.

Specialized study in the field of textual criticism took place from 1971-77 under Kenneth W. Clark, then

emeritus of Duke University. During this time he received the degrees of Master of Divinity (1973) and Master of Theology (1975) from Southeastern Baptist Theological Seminary, Wake Forest, North Carolina. In 1982, he was awarded the Ph.D. in NT textual criticism by Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Ft. Worth, Texas, with his dissertation entitled Scribal Habits among Manuscripts of the

As a result of his studies under Clark, he was slowly led to shift his position from reasoned eclecticism to Byzantine-priority, and in 1976 joined with William Pierpont in the quest to establish an accurate Byzantine text and viable underlying theory. Since that time, he has presented many papers and articles dealing with NT textual criticism at

Robinson is currently Senior Professor of New Testament and Greek at Southeastern Baptist Theological Seminary, Wake Forest, North Carolina.



WILLIAM G. PIERPONT began studying the Greek New Testament in

1932, and was trained in the Westcott-Hort theory and method at Friends University, Wichita, Kansas. The closer examination of the manuscripts and theoretical perspectives underlying that approach led him into a life-long study of NT textual criticism that in the mid-1960s resulted in his support and

defense of the Byzantine Textform.

Pierpont worked for over 40 years as a research engineer at Beech Aircraft in Wichita. Even while employed at Beech, Pierpont was able not only to conduct an intensive study of Greek NT textual criticism, but also to acquire a reading and speaking knowledge of more than two dozen world languages, including Polish and Mandarin Chinese. Following his retirement, Pierpont devoted the remainder of his life to text-critical and related investigative work.

William Pierpont went to be with the Lord on 20 February 2003, after he had jointly approved the final text and most of the prefatory material for this edition.

Printed in the U.S.A.

Copyright © 2005, Chilton Book Publishing

Photo of Dr. Robinson courtesy of The Southeastern Baptist Theological Seminary

- Accurate Byzantine Textform
- Easy-to-read presentation
- Fully accented and punctuated text
- Marginal entries identify significant Byzantine variants
- Footnotes identify NA²⁷/UBS⁴ variants
- Includes the essay "The Case for Byzantine Priority"
- Ideal for scholars, pastors, and students

3.10 - 3.26 ΚΑΤΑ ΙΩΑΝΝΗΝ

δύναται ταῦτα γενέσθαι: 10 Άπεκρίθη Ίπσοῦς καὶ εἶπεν αὐτῶ Σὺ εἶ ὁ διδάσκαλος τοῦ Ἰσραήλ, καὶ ταῦτα οὐ γινώσκει ¹¹ Άμὴν ἀμὴν λέγω σοι ὅτι ὃ οἴδαμεν λαλοῦμεν, καὶ ὃ έωράκαμεν μαρτυροθμεν· καὶ τὴν μαρτυρίαν ἡμῶν οὐ λαμβάνετε. 12 Εἰ τὰ ἐπίγεια εἶπον ὑμῖν καὶ οὐ πιστεύετε, πῶς, έὰν εἴπω ὑμῖν τὰ ἐπουράνια, πιστεύσετε; 13 Καὶ οὐδεὶς άναβέβηκεν είς τὸν οὐρανόν, εί μὴ ὁ ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καταβά ό υίὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου ὁ ὢν ἐν τῶ οὐρανῶ. 14 Καὶ καθὼς Μωσῆς ύψωσεν τὸν ὄφιν ἐν τῆ ἐρήμω, ούτως ὑψωθῆναι δεῖ τὸν υἱὸν το άνθρώπου· 15 ίνα πᾶς ὁ πιστεύων εἰς αὐτὸν μὴ ἀπόληται, ἀλλ'

 16 Οὕτως γὰρ ἠγάπησεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν κόσμον, ὥστε τὸν υἰὸν αὐτοῦ τὸν μονογενῆ ἔδωκεν, ἵνα πᾶς ὁ πιστεύων εἰς αὐτὸν μ ἀπόληται, ἀλλ' ἔχη ζωὴν αἰώνιον. 17 Οὐ γὰρ ἀπέστειλεν ὁ θεὸς τὸν υἱὸν αὐτοῦ εἰς τὸν κόσμον ἵνα κρίνη τὸν κόσμον, ἀλλί σωθη ὁ κόσμος δι' αὐτοῦ. 18 'Ο πιστεύων εἰς αὐτὸν οὐ κρίνει ό δὲ μὴ πιστεύων ἥδη κέκριται, ὅτι μὴ πεπίστευκεν εἰς τὸ ὄνομ τοῦ μονογενοῦς υἱοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ. 19 Αὕτη δέ ἐστιν ἡ κρίσις, ὅτι τὸ φῶς ἐλήλυθεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον, καὶ ἠγάπησαν οἱ ἄνθρωποι μᾶλλον τὸ σκότος ἢ τὸ φῶς · ἦν γὰρ πονηρὰ αὐτῶν τὰ ἔργα ²⁰Πᾶς γὰρ ὁ φαῦλα πράσσων μισεῖ τὸ φῶς, καὶ οὐκ ἔργετα πρός τὸ φῶς, ἵνα μὴ ἐλεγγθῆ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ, 21 Ὁ δὲ ποιῶν τὴν άλήθειαν ἔρχεται πρὸς τὸ φῶς, ἵνα φανερωθῆ αὐτοῦ τὰ ἔργα, ότι ἐν θεῶ ἐστιν εἰργασμένο

 $^{22}\,\rm M$ ετὰ ταῦτα ἦλθεν ὁ Ἰησοῦς καὶ οἱ μαθηταὶ αὐτοῦ εἰς τὴν Ίουδαίαν γῆν∙ καὶ ἐκεῖ διέτριβεν μετ' αὐτῶν καὶ ἐβάπτιζεν 23 °Ην δὲ καὶ Ἰωάννης βαπτίζων ἐν Αἰνὼν ἐγγὺς τοῦ ΓΣαλήμ ότι ὕδατα πολλὰ ἦν ἐκεῖ· καὶ παρεγίνοντο καὶ ἐβαπτίζοντο. ⁴Οὕπω γὰρ ἦν βεβλημένος εἰς τὴν φυλακὴν ὁ Ἰωάννης 25 Έγένετο οὖν ζήτησις ἐκ τῶν μαθητῶν Ἰωάννου μετὸ Ίουδαίου περὶ καθαρισμοῦ. 26 Καὶ ἦλθον πρὸς τὸν Ἰωάννην καὶ εἶπον αὐτῷ, Ἡαββί, ος ἦν μετὰ σοῦ πέραν τοῦ Ἰορδάνου, ῷ σὺ μεμαρτύρηκας, ἴδε οὖτος βαπτίζει, καὶ πάντες ἔρχονται

Lower apparatus identifies NA²⁷/UBS⁴ variants

Le bilton Book

Southborough, Massachusetts

paglishing

Marginal entries identify

the Byzantine tradition

significant variants within

Robinson **Pierpont**

TEW TESTORIGINATES

2005

Biblical Reference

Actual text size:

θεὸς]





THE NEW TESTAMENT IN THE ORIGINAL GREEK

BYZANTINE TEXTFORM 2005



Compiled and Arranged by

MAURICE A. ROBINSON and WILLIAM G. PIERPONT

THE NEW TESTAMENT was written in Koine Greek during the first century AD. From the time of its original revelation, handwritten copies continually were prepared in order to maintain and preserve that original text into the modern era. All copies made prior to the invention of movable-type printing were made by hand, resulting in various scribal alterations, most of these being of a minor nature. Although the autographs no longer exist, and no two manuscript copies are completely identical, sufficient evidence exists from which one can produce an accurate representation of the original text by comparing and evaluating the overall manuscript consensus. Robinson and Pierpont have taken the utmost care in preparing that text for this edition.

Various other methods for restoration of the original NT text have fallen short of their goal, in part due to methodological subjectivity, and in part to a presuppositional bias against the claims of the Byzantine Textform. The texts created under such a bias tend to be based on only a handful of favored manuscripts, and fail to consider all transmissional factors in the preservation of the original text. As a result, the modern eclectic texts tend to preserve more of a caricature than the essence of the originals.

In contrast, Robinson and Pierpont have applied many of the same methods of textual criticism to their task, but without the anti-Byzantine bias. Their method of "reasoned transmissionalism" is based on the wider scope of manuscript transmission throughout history. The preface of this edition explains the basic method by which the present editors have arrived at their basic text. The appendix contains Robinson's essay, "The Case for Byzantine Priority," which presents a rationale for and defense of the theory and methodology that has been applied in the preparation of this edition.

Front jacket photo: Byzantine minuscule leaf (Luke 23:7b-14a), ca. 12th century, Gregory-Aland 2878 Courtesy of Donald L. Brake

